

ISSN: 1813-162X Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences available online at: <u>http://www.tj-es.com</u>



Mechanical Properties of Self-Compacted Concrete

Ammar SaleemKhazaal¹, Alyaa Abbas Ali², Assim Mohammed Lateef³ ¹Civil Engineering Department, Tikrit University, Salahaldeen, Iraq E-mail: <u>akhazaal56@gmail.com</u> ²Civil Engineering Department, Technical College of Kirkuk, Kirkuk, Iraq E-mail:<u>alattaralyaa@gmail.com</u> ³Environmental Engineering Department, Tikrit University, Salahaldeen, Iraq E-mail: <u>assaim77@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

In this research, trial mixes were conducted according to Self-Compacted Concrete (SCC) specifications, a mix that gave a higher compressive strength to the age of seven days has been selected. Then after selecting the appropriate mix, concrete samples had poured and were distributed into five groups; each group consists of six cubes, six cylinders, and six prisms. The samples of each group are testing for compressive, tensile splitting, and flexure strengths respectively for the ages of 7, 14, 28, 60, and 90 days respectively. Before of conduction of destructive tests, the samples were tested using ultrasonic waves to determine the relationship between the concrete strength and pulse velocity and in the same way for all ages in above. Experimental results showed that, all concrete mechanical properties have improved, and the maximum improve was in flexural strength followed by compressive strength and tensile splitting strength. The cube compressive strength increased according to (G1 at 7 days curing) from 34.3% to 71.8%, the percentage of increase of tensile strength according to (G1 at 7 days curing) from 16.8% to 64.3%, modulus of rupture increased according to (G1 at 7 days curing) from 34.6% to 98.7% for ages (14, 28, 60, 90 days) respectively. Pulls velocity increased according to (G1 at 7 days curing): For cube from 5.1% to 23.9%, for cylinder from 21.4% to 40.3%, for prisms from 7.1% to 29.2%.

Keywords:Self-Compacted Concrete, Mechanical Properties, Pulse Velocity, Compressive Strength.

الخصائص الميكانيكية للخرسانة ذاتية الرص

الخلاصة

تم في هذا البحث اجراء خلطات مرجعية وفقا لمحددات الخرسانة ذاتية الرص، وقد تم انتخاب الخلطة التي اعطت اعلى مقاومة انضعاط بعمر 7 ايام. بعد اختيار الخلطة المناسبة تم انتاج خمس مجاميع من النماذج كل مجموعة تتألف من ستة مكعبات بأبعاد (150×150) ملم، ستة اسطوانات بأبعاد (150×300) ملم وستة مواشير بأبعاد (150×100) ملم وتم فحص هذه النماذج بأعمار مختلفة (7، 14، 28، 60، 90) لمقاومة الانضعاط والانشطار ومقاومة الانتئاء وقد تم اجراء الفحوصات الغير اتلافية (امواج فوق الصوتية) لكل مجموعة الغرص الغير اتلافية (امواج بأعمار مختلفة (7، 14، 28، 60) 60) لمقاومة الانضعاط والانشطار ومقاومة الانتئاء وقد تم اجراء الفحوصات الغير اتلافية (امواج فوق الصوتية) لكل مجموعة الغرض منها هو ايجاد علاقة بين سرعة الموجة و مقاومة الانتئاء وقد تم اجراء الفحوصات الغير اتلافية (امواج النتئاج التحريبية ان جميع الخرص منها هو ايجاد علاقة بين سرعة الموجة و مقاومة الانتئاء وقد تم اجراء الفحوصات الغير اتلافية (امواج التنائج التحريبية) لكل مجموعة الغرض منها هو ايجاد علاقة بين سرعة الموجة و مقاومة الانتئاء وقد تم اجراء الفحوصات الغير اتلافيرت النتئاء الجران التنائج التريبية ان جميع الخراس الموتية لها تحسن ملموس بزيادة عمر الخرسانة وكان اقصى تحسين هو في مقاومة الانتئاء الخيرا مقاومة الانتئاء اليها التائي من 3. كلم مجموعة الغرض منها هو ايجاد علاقة بين سرعة الموجة و مقاومة الانضغاط بالمقارنة مع المجموعة الاولى بعمر 7 مقاومة الانضغاط واخيرا مقاومة الانتئاء تليها من 3.3 كانت نسبة المؤياذ كانت نسبة الزيادة في مقاومة الانضغاط بالمقارنة مع المجموعة الولى بعمر 7 ايام من 3.4% الى 3.4% الى 3.4% الكسوليان من 3.4% الكسوليان من 3.4% الكسوليان من 3.4% الكسوليان من 3.4% الموجات الفوق الصوتية زادت سرعة انتقال ولموجات بين 3.4% الى 3.4% الن من 3.4% الكسولية الزيادة مقاومة الانشطار هي بين 3.4% الى 3.4% الزيادة في معامل الكسر من 3.4% الى 3.4% الى 3.4% الكسولية زادت سرعة انتقال ولموجات بالنماذ مع الموموعة الولى بعمر 7 الموجات بين 3.4% الى 3.4% الن 3.4% ال مورات بين 3.4% الى 3.4% الغمار (7، 14، 28، 60) 60) على التوالي بالنسبة الموجات الفوق الصوتية زادت سرعة انتقال الموجات بين 3.4% الى 3.4% الى 3.4% الى 3.4% الى 3.4% الى 3.4% الكمولي من 7.4% الموليا مان 7.4% الى 4.4% الموليات من 3.4% ال

الكلمات الدالة: الخرسانة ذاتية الرص، الخصائص الميكانيكية، سرعة النبضة، مقاومة الأنضغاط.

SCC (the new class of high performance concrete) has been first developed in Japan, then employed in several countries in cast-inplace and precast applications [1,2,3,4,5]. The use of SCC in the United States has developed dramatically especially in producing of ready mixed concrete. It has used in the construction of parking lots and for architectural purposes. The estimated amount of SCC has produced was around of 135,000 m³ in the United States in the year of 2002, then increased to be 1.8 million m³ in the year of 2003. In the year of 2002, 40% of ready mixed concrete manufacturers have used the new technology of SCC [6].

Materials

Optimal ratios of SCC ingredients are selected according to the requirements of EFNARC [7], considering the characteristics of all the materials used. Satisfactory SCC is obtained by selecting suitable materials, good quality control and proportioning. The constituents are used in the production of SCC are shown in Figure (1).





Cement

Ordinary Portland cement type I was used in all mixes throughout this research. It was stored in air-tight plastic containers to avoid exposure to atmospheric conditions like humidity. The physical and chemical properties of cement used in the study are presented in Table (1). Test results indicate that the adopted cement conformed to the Iraqi specification 5/1984 [8].

Aggregate Fine Aggregate

It has used natural Sand River from quarries located on the Tigris River north of Tikrit. Physical and chemical properties as well as the grading of fine aggregate are indicated in Tables 2 and 3 respectively.

Coarse Aggregate

It has used natural river gravel also from quarries located on the Tigris River north of Tikrit with a maximum size of 14 mm. Physical and chemical properties as well as the grading of coarse aggregate are indicated in Tables 4 and 5 respectively.

Admixtures Superplasticizer

The product Structuro 502 designed for SCC production was used in this research. The typical properties of the product as reported by the manufacturer are listed in Table (6).

Silica Fume

Type (MEYCO® MS610) has used, it is ultrafine material consists of ultra-fine spherical particles of an average diameter of 150 mm. It can be obtained as aby-product of silicon industry, and due to ultra fineness and higher content of silica, silica fume is considered as an effective pozolanic material. This type above has conformed to requirements of ASTM C1240-03 [14]. Silica fume can affect fresh and hardened concrete properties as in below [15]:

- a- Workability: The addition of silica fume reduces the slump of fresh concrete versus time due to increased surface area which leads to obtaining cohesive mix.
- b- Segregation and Bleeding: The addition of silica fume reduces bleeding of fresh concrete due to consuming agreater quantity of mixing water to wet higher surface area of fine particles which causes reducing free water is available in themix. Also, it acts to seal the pores of the concrete which prevent the water from moving towards the surface and evaporates.

Table (7) shows Pozzolanic activity and Chemical decomposition of silica fume as reported by the manufacturer are listed.

Physical Properties	Specification	Test Results			
Specific surface area (Blaine method), (m²/kg)		430	230 m ² /kg lower limit		
Setting time (vacate apparatus) Initial setting, hrs:min Final setting, hrs:min	R.G.D 198/1990 ^[9] .	1:10 3:10	Not less than 45min Not more than 10 hrs		
Compressive strength MPa		20.0	15 MPa lower limit		
For 3-day For 7-day	-	30.0	23 MPa lower limit		
Expansion by Autoclave method		0.38	0.8 % upper limit		
Oxides composition	Specification	Content %	Limits of IQS 5/1984.		
CaO		61.5	-		
SiO ₂		21.87	-		
Al ₂ O ₃		4.81	-		
Fe ₂ O ₃		3.04			
MgO	R.G.D 472/1993 ^[10] .	3.40	5 % Max.		
SO ₃		2.35	2.8 % Max.		
L.O.I		1.53	4 % Max.		
Insoluble material		1.5	1.5 % Max.		
Lime Saturation Factor, (L.S.F)		0.8	(0.66-1.02)		

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of cement

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of fine aggregate *

Properties	Specification	Test Result	Limits of Specification
Specific Gravity	ASTM C128-01 ^[12]	2.57	-
Absorption (%)	ASTM C128-01 ^[12]	2.35	-
Sulfate Content (as SO ₃) %	IQS # 45/1984 ^[11]	0.22	≤ 0.5%.
Material finer than 0.075 mm (%)	IQS # 45/1984 ^[11]	1.01	≤ 5.0%.

*Tests were conducted by the Civil and Chemical Engineering Laboratory\ Tikrit University

Sieve Size (mm)	Cumulative Retained (%)	Cumulative Passing (%)	Limits of IQS # 45/1984 (Zone II) ^[11]		
10	0	100	100		
4.75	9.05	90.95	90-100		
2.36	13.38	88.62	85-100		
1.18	21.45	78.55	75-100		
0.6	33.04	66.96	60-79		
0.3	83.26	16.74	12-40		
0.15	95.66	4.34	0-10		

Table 3. Sieve analysis of fine aggregate *

*Tests were conducted by the Civil Engineering Laboratory\ Tikrit University

Properties	Specification	Test Result	Limits of Specification
Specific Gravity	ASTM C128-01 ^[12]	2.7	-
Absorption (%)	ASTM C128-01 ^[12]	0.8	-
Sulfate Content (as SO ₃) %	IQS # 45/1984 [11]	0.07	≤ 0.1%.

Table 4. Physical and chemical properties	s of coarse aggregate*
---	------------------------

*Tests were conducted by the Civil and Chemical Engineering Laboratory\ Tikrit University

Table 5. Sieve analysis of the coarse aggregate *

Sieve Size (mm)	Cumulative Passing (%)	Limits of IQS # 45/1984 (Zone III) [11]
14	93.98	90-100
10	83.51	50-85
4.75	0	0-10

*Tests were conducted by the Civil Engineering Laboratory\ Tikrit University

Description	Superplasticizer
Appearance	Light brown colored liquid
Volumetric Mass	1.10 kg/ltr. At 20 °C.
pH Value	6.5
Chloride Content	Nil
Alkali Content	Typically less than 1 gm Na ₂ O equivalent per liter of admixture

Table 6. Typical properties of Structuro 502 ^[13]
--

*Properties of the product as reported by the manufacturer.

Pozzolanic	Limits of ASTM C1240- 03 ^[14]		emical position	Limits of ASTM C1240- 03 [14]	
Activity	03 114	Oxides	Result (%)	03 1141	
121.5%	105%	L.O.I	3.82	6% Max.	
		SiO ₂	90.5	85% Min.	
		Al ₂ O ₃	4.1		
		Fe ₂ O ₃	0.35		
		SO ₃	0.71		

*Properties of the product as reported by the manufacturer

Mixture Proportions

EFNARC [7] specification is used for proportioning self-compacted concrete by changing the superplastiisizer's dosage and keeping the water powder ratio is constant. The trail mixes details are shown in Table (8). The procedure that is followed for proportioning SCC (Self-Compacting Concrete) is as in below [15];

1- Fine and coarse aggregate which of saturated surface dry are mixed in the mixing drum with one third of the water for two minutes.

- 2- Adding the powder (silica fume and cement) and mix for one minute.
- 3- Adding the superplasticzer and the two third quantities of water, and mixing for three minutes.
- 4- Each trial mix is subjected to tests for verifying the adherence of the resulting mix to the SCC requirements.

The proportions in Table (8) are within the EFNARC guidelines for SCC [7] as in below;

- 1- Water-powder ratio by volume is (0.8-1.0).
- 2- Total powder content is (400-600) kg per cubic meter.

- 3- Coarse aggregate content is normally (28-35) % by volume of the mix.
- 5- Sand content balances the volume of other constituents.
- 4- Water content does not exceed 200 liters per cubic meter.

Trail		C4.	Quantities of Mix ingredients (kg/m ³)							
Mix	Mix Filler 502		Pow	vder	w/cm	Fine	Coarse e Aggregate	Str. 502	Density	
/%)	(%)	Wator	Filler Content	Cement Content	ratio	Aggregate				
1	10	2.65	146	40.0	400	0.33	880	800	11.66	2277.66
2	11	2.85	147	44.0	400	0.33	880	800	12.65	2283.65
3	12	3.00	150	48.0	400	0.33	880	800	13.44	2291.44
4	10	3.00	165	45.0	450	0.33	880	750	14.85	2304.85
5	11	3.10	170	49.5	450	0.34	880	750	15.48	2314.98
6	12	3.50	170	54.0	450	0.34	880	750	17.64	2321.64
7	11	3.00	175	49.5	450	0.35	900	750	14.99	2339.49
8	11	3.30	170	49.5	450	0.34	900	750	16.48	2335.98

Table 9. Results of fresh self-compacting concrete tests

Trial Mix #	Self-Compactibility Properties.					
	Flow Table (mm)	T ₅₀ (Sec.)	V-Funnel (Sec.)	L-Box		
1	650	5	9	0.95		
2	690	4	8	0.95		
3	740	3.5	6	0.97		
4	745	4.5	6	0.97		
5	680	4	8	0.94		
6	720	3.5	8	0.95		
7	772	4	5	0.96		
8	765	2.5	6	0.95		

Table 10. Compressive strength test results of SCC trial mixes

Trial Mix #	Compressive Strength at 7 days age (MPa)
1	26.70
2	28.30
3	27.20
4	29.60
5	30.20
6	29.10
7	32.00
8	32.75

Mechanical Tests Compressive Strength

The compressive strength test has evaluated according to B.S. 1881: part 116: 1989 [16].

The test has conducted on $(150 \times 150 \times 150)$ mm cube samples using an electrical testing machine as shown in Figure (2) with a capacity of 2000 kN at a loading rate of 7 MPa per minute. The average of six cubes was

adopted for each test, the test was conducted at ages of (7, 14, 28, 60, and 90) days.

Tensile Splitting Strength

The tensile splitting strength test has performed according to ASTM C49, 2004[17]. Cylindrical concrete specimens (150 mm diameter \times 300 mm height) had used. The specimens had tested by using an electrical testing machine shown in Figure (3) with a capacity of 2000 kN. This test was conducted at ages of (7, 14, 28, 60, and 90) days.

Flexural Toughness

Flexural strength test was carried out on (100×100×500) mm simply supported prisms with a clear span of 400 mm under the third points loading according to ASTM C1018-97, 2004 [18]. This test was conducted at ages of (7, 14, 28, 60, and 90) days. The specimens were tested using a Universal Machine in the Laboratory of College of Engineering of Tikrit University as shown in Figure (4). To facilitate deflection reading despite the fact that the test was performed upside down without harming the dial gauge. The load was applied by using a hydraulic machine with a capacity of 2000kN. The mid span deflection reading was measured using a dial gauge sensitive to 0.01 mm then the load deflection was drawn according to (ASTM C1018-97, 2004) [18] as shown in Figure (4) below.

Non-Destructive Tests Ultra-Sonic Test

The main idea on which the examination of concrete using vibrational ultrasound here in this research is the possibility of finding out the relationship between the speed of the pulse (or wave) and the compressive, tensile splitting, and flexural strength respectively. Samples are tested after taking out the water treatment tank, and this test is performed for all samples supposed to be later destructively test. Reading of waves of ultrasound taken for each sample twice, and then the average value of transit time is recorded. Figure (2), shows the three arrangements of testing. The speed of ultrasound wave can be evaluated using equation 1 below;

v = l/t(1). Where,

v: Pulse velocity(km/sec).

l: Length of path (mm). *t*: Time of transition (sec.).

Fig. 2. Measuring of ultrasound pulse velocity;



⁽a) Direct method;

(b) Semi-Indirect method; (c) Indirect method

Results and Discussion Hardened Concrete Test Compressive Strength

According to experimental test results, the concrete cube compressive strength increase with the age of concrete (increase the time of curing), as has shown in Figure (3). The percentage of increase according to G1 was varying from 34.3% to 71.8%. f_{cu} at an age of 90 days is the largest one. Figure (4) shows the percentage of increase in compressive strength with reference to G1.

Tensile Splitting Strength

Experimental test results revealed that the concrete tensile splitting strength increases versus age of concrete as has showing in Figure (5). Table (12) shows the percentage of increase in tensile splitting strength with reference to Group # 1 (G1). Percentage of increase with reference to G1 was varying from 16.8% to 64.3%. Tensile splitting strength (f_{st}) at an age of 90 days is the largest one. Figure (6) shows the percentage of increase in tensile splitting strength with reference to G1.

Flexural Strength

The concrete flexural strength increase with the age of concrete also like the compressive and tensile splitting strengths, as it has shown in Figure (7). Table (13) shows the percentage of increase in modulus of rupture with reference to G₁. It is obvious from Table (13) that the Modulus of Rupture (MoR) is increased with increasing the age of concrete, and the percentage of increase with reference to G₁ from 34.6% to 98.7% as in Figure (8). MoR for day 90 is the largest one.

Ultrasonic Test

The ultrasound pulse velocity increases with the age of concrete. Table (14) shows the % of the increase in pulse velocity versus curing age, whereas Tables (15) and (16) are showing the percentage of increase for all specimens. The development of pulse velocity versus concrete's strength for selected mixes is shown in Figures (9), (10), and (11). The percentage of increase in pulse velocity with reference to G1, is shown in Figures (12), (13), and (14).

It is obvious from Table (17) and Figure (15) that the greatest value of pulse velocity was in cylinder specimens, followed by prisms, and at last the cube specimens. Table (18) and Figure (16) show the increase in flexural, compressive, and tensile splitting strength.



Fig. 3. Compressive strength versus curing age

Age (Days)	Group #	Compressive Strength of Cube f_{cu} (MPa)	% of increase in Compressive Strength
7	G1	32	-
14	G ₂	43	34.3
28	G3	50	56.2
60	G4	53	65.6
90	G ₅	55	71.8

Table 11. Compressive strength results







Fig. 5. Tensile strength versus curing age

Age (Days)	Group #	Tensile Splitting Strength f_{st} (MPa)	% of increase in Tensile Splitting Strength
7	G1	3.211	-
14	G2	3.753	16.8
28	G3	4.824	50.2
60	G4	5.063	57.6
90	G5	5.277	64.3

Table 12. Tensile splitting strength results







Fig. 7. Modulus of rupture versus curing age

Age (Days)	Group #	Flexure Force (kN)	Modulus of Rupture MoR (MPa)	% of increase in MoR
7	G1	7.8	3.51	-
14	G ₂	10.5	4.725	34.6
28	G₃	12.8	5.76	64.1
60	G ₄	14.6	6.57	87.1
90	G ₅	15.5	6.975	98.7

Table 13. Modulus of rupture results



Fig. 8. The percentage of increase in modulus of rupture according to G_1

Age (Days)	Group #	Pulse Velocity (kM/sec)	% of increase in Pulse Velocity
7	G1	3.7	-
14	G ₂	3.9	5.10
28	G ₃	4.2	10.7
60	G4	4.4	16.90
90	G ₅	4.6	23.90

Table 14. Pulls velocity for cubes specimens results

Table 15. Results of pulls velocity for cylinders specimens

Age (Days)	Group #	Pulse Velocity (kM/sec)	% of increase in Pulse Velocity
7	G1	3.3	-
14	G ₂	3.9	21.4
28	G ₃	4.3	32.1
60	G4	4.4	34.8
90	G ₅	4.6	40.3

Table 16. Results of pulls velocity for prisms specimens

Age (Days)	Group #	Pulse Velocity (kM/sec)	% of theincrease in Pulse Velocity
7	G1	3.6	-
14	G2	3.9	7.1
28	G₃	4.3	20.5
60	G4	4.6	25.7
90	G₅	4.7	29.2



Fig. 9. Compressive strength versus pulls velocity



Fig. 10. Tensile strength versus pulls velocity



Fig. 11. Flexural strength versus pulls velocity



Fig. 12. The percentage of increase in pulls velocity for cubes according to G1



Fig. 13. The percentage of increase in pulls velocity for cylinders according to G1



Fig. 14. The percentage of increase in pulls velocity according to G1

Age (Days)	Group #	% of increase in Pulse Velocity		
		Prisms	Cubes	Cylinders
7	G1	-	-	-
14	G ₂	7.1	5.1	21.4
28	G ₃	20.5	10.7	32.1
60	G4	25.7	16.9	34.8
90	G₅	29.2	23.9	40.3

Table 17. Achieved improvements in pulls velocity with reference to G1



Fig. 15. Achieved improvements in pulls velocity with reference to G1

Age (Days)	Group #	% of the increase in strength.			
		Compressive	Tensile Splitting	Flexural	
7	G1	-	-	-	
14	G ₂	34.3	16.8	34.6	
28	G₃	56.2	50.2	64.1	
60	G4	65.6	57.6	87.1	
90	G ₅	71.8	64.3	98.7	

Table 18. Achieved improvements for hardened concrete properties according to G1



Fig. 16. Achieved improvements for hardened concrete properties according to G1

Conclusions

The cube compressive strength increased according to (G1 at 7 days curing) from 34.3 % to 71.8% for ages of 14, 28, 60, and 90 days respectively. The percentage of increase of tensile strength according to (G1 at 7 days curing) from 16.8% to 64.3% for ages of 14, 28, 60, and 90 days respectively. Modulus of Rupture increased according to (G1 at 7 days curing) from 34.6% to 98.7% for ages of 14, 28, 60, and 90 days respectively.

Pulse velocity increased according to (G1 at 7 days curing);

a) For cube from 5.1% to 23.9%.

b) For cylinder from 21.4% to 40.3%.

c) For prisms from 7.1% to 29.2%.

The highest improvement was in flexure strength followed by cube compressive strength, and then tensile strength.

References

- 1-RILEM, "State-of-the-Art Report of RILEM Technical Committee 174-SCC, Self-Compacting Concrete", Report 23, Ä. Skarendahl and O. Petersson, eds., 154pp, 2000.
- 2-Khayat, K. H., and Aïtcin, P.-C., "Use of Self- Consolidating Concrete in Canada— Present Situation and Perspectives", Proceedings of the Workshop on Self-Compacting Concrete, Kochi, Japan, 1998.
- 3-Skarendahl, Ä., "Market Acceptance of Self-Compacting Concrete, the Swedish Experience", Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on SCC, K. Ozawa and M. Ouchi, eds., Tokyo, pp.1-12, 2001.
- 4-Walraven, J. C., "State of the Art on Self-Compacting Concrete in the Netherlands", Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on SCC, K. Ozawa and M. Ouchi, eds., Tokyo, pp.13-24, 2001.
- 5-K. Ozawa and M. Ouchi, eds,. "Current Condition of Self-Compacting Concrete in Japan", Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on SCC, K. Ozawa and M. Ouchi, eds., Tokyo, pp.63-68, 2001.
- 6-Vachon, M., and Daczko, J., "U.S. Regulatory Work on SCC", Proceedings of the First North American Conference on the Design and Use of SCC, ACBM, Chicago, III., Nov. 12-13, pp.423-428, 2002.

- 7-EFNARC, "Specification and Guidelines for Self-compacting Concrete", February 32pp, 2002.
- 8-Iraqi Standard Specification No.5, "Portland Cement", Central Agency for Standardization and Quality Control, Baghdad, 1984.
- 9-Reference Guide Directory No. 198, "Physical Tests of Portland Cement", Central Organization for Standardization and Quality Control, 42 pages, Baghdad, 1990.
- 10- Reference Guide Directory No. 472, "Chemical Tests of Portland Cement", Central Organization for Standardization and Quality Control, 20 pages, Baghdad, 1993.
- 11- Iraqi Standard Specification No.45, "Natural Aggregate Resources used in Concrete and Construction", Central Agency for Standardization and Quality Control, Baghdad, 1984.
- 12- ASTM C128–01, "Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), and Absorption of Fine Aggregate", Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 04.02, pp. 74-79, 2004.
- 13- ASTM C1240-03, "Standard Specification for Use of Silica Fume as a Mineral Admixture in Hydraulic-Cement Concrete, Mortar, and Grout."
- 14- ACI 234R-06," Guide for the Use of Silica Fume in Concrete."
- 15- Ravindrarajah, R.S., Farrokhzadi, F. and Lahoud, A., "Properties of Flowing Concrete and Self-compacting Concrete with Highperformance superplasticizer", 3rd International RILEM Symposium, RILEM Publications, August, 10pp, 2003.
- 16- BS 1881, Part 116, "Method for Determination of Compressive Strength of Concrete Cubes", British Standards Institution, 1881; PP.3, 1989.
- 17- ASTM C496/C496M–04, "Standard Test Methods for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical", ASTM Standards, Vol. 04.02, pp. 283–287, 2004.
- 18- ASTM C1018–97, "Standard Test Methods for Flexural Toughness and First– Crack Strength of Fiber–Reinforced Concrete (Using Beam with Third Point Loading)", Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 04.02, pp. 544–551, 2004.